

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Analytic solution of the reference interaction site model equation for a mixture of hard spheres and symmetric rigid molecules

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 2891 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/1/17/010)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 94.79.44.176 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 18:09

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Analytic solution of the reference interaction site model equation for a mixture of hard spheres and symmetric rigid molecules

M F Holovko and Yu V Kalyuzhnij Lvov Department of the Institute for Theoretical Physics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, 290005, Lvov, USSR

Received 18 April 1988, in final form 20 September 1988

**Abstract.** The analytic solution of the set of site–site Ornstein–Zernike equations within the Chandler–Andersen approximation for a mixture of hard spheres and symmetric rigid molecules is presented. Using the zero-pole approximation, the site–site radial distribution functions are calculated.

#### 1. Introduction

Owing to the application of the site-site approach, great progress in the theory of molecular fluids has been achieved in recent years [1-3]. This approach is based on the use of site-site distribution functions. The site-site radial distribution functions (SSRDF) are usually calculated from the set of site-site Ornstein-Zernike (SSOZ) equations, introduced by Chandler and Andersen [4]. We may write them in the form

$$h(k) = S(k)c(k)S(k) + S(k)c(k)\rho h(k)$$
(1)

where the matrices c(k), h(k), S(k) and  $\rho$  are

$$h_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(k) = (4\pi/k) \int_{0}^{\infty} r h_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(r) \sin(kr) dr$$

$$c_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(k) = (4\pi/k) \int_{0}^{\infty} r c_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(r) \sin(kr) dr$$

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} = \rho_{a} \delta_{ab} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(k) = \delta_{ab} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} + (1 - \delta_{\alpha\beta}) \sin(kl_{\alpha\beta}^{a})/(kl_{\alpha\beta}^{a})].$$
(1a)

Here  $c_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(r)$  and  $h_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}(r)$  are the direct and the total correlation functions, respectively, of the sites  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , belonging to molecules a and b;  $\rho_a = N_a/V$ , where  $N_a$  is the number of molecules of sort a; and  $l_{\alpha\beta}^a$  is the intra-molecular distance between sites  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In the case of symmetric homonuclear molecules the set of ssoz equations reduces to one equation [5]

$$h_{ss}(k) = [1 + (n_s - 1)s(k)]^2 c_{ss}(k) + n_s \rho [1 + (n_s - 1)s(k)] c_{ss}(k) h_{ss}(k).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Here index s denotes the molecular site;  $\rho = N/V$ , where N is the number of molecules;

0953-8984/89/172891 + 19 \$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd



Figure 1. The models of the molecules.

 $s(k) = \frac{\sin(kl_s)}{(kl_s)}$ ; and  $n_s$  is the number of sites in the molecule. Particularly  $n_s = 2$  corresponds to dumb-bells,  $n_s = 3$  to triangular triatomics and  $n_s = 4$  to tetrahedral tetraatomics (see figure 1).

The special class of site-site models with hard-sphere site-site interaction can be separated out. Such models are called the reference interaction site models (RISM) [1-5]. For RISM the total correlation function satisfies the exact condition

$$h_{ss}(r) = -1 \qquad r < \sigma_{ss} \tag{3a}$$

where  $\sigma_{ss}$  is the hard-sphere size. Similarly to the Percus–Yevick approximation for the hard-sphere model, the following closure for the ssoz equation was introduced [3, 4]

$$c_{ss}(r) = 0 \qquad r > \sigma_{ss}. \tag{3b}$$

The analytic solution of equation (2) combined with the closure relation (3) for the system of dumb-bells was obtained recently [6–11]. The method of solution is based upon the Wiener–Hopf factorisation technique and generalises Baxter's method of solution of the usual Ornstein–Zernike equation for a hard-sphere system in the Percus–Yevick approximation [12, 13]. For the ssoz equation (2), the Baxter function Q(r) contains an infinite series of exponentially damped oscillating terms, which have their origin in the poles of the function  $[1 + s(k)]^{-1}$ . It was shown [8, 10], however, that for high densities one can neglect all these terms and obtain quantitatively good results. Such an approximation is called the zero-pole approximation (ZPA).

The above analytic solution was generalised for the system of symmetric  $n_s$ -atomic molecules [14]. The investigations carried out in [14] show that, in the case of triatomics and especially in the case of tetra-atomics, the results obtained in the ZPA become not so good and it is necessary to take into consideration the poles of the function  $[1 + s(k)]^{-1}$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to present the analytic solution of the set of ssoz

2892

equations for a mixture of hard spheres and symmetric  $n_s$ -atomic molecules (figure 1). For such a two-component mixture we can write this set of equations in the following form [15, 16]

$$h^{(s)}(k) = w(k)c^{(s)}(k)w(k) + w(k)c^{(s)}(k)\rho h^{(s)}(k)$$
(4)

where

$$h^{(s)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{li}^{(s)}(k) & h_{ls}^{(s)}(k) \\ h_{si}^{(s)}(k) & h_{ss}^{(s)}(k) \end{pmatrix} \qquad c^{(s)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{li}^{(s)}(k) & c_{ls}^{(s)}(k) \\ c_{si}^{(s)}(k) & c_{ss}^{(s)}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$w(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + (n_s - 1)s(k) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_i & 0 \\ 0 & n_s \rho_s \end{pmatrix} \qquad (5)$$
$$\rho_s = N/V \qquad \rho_i = N_i/V.$$

Here, indices i and s denote the hard sphere and the molecular site, respectively;  $N_i$  and N are the number of hard spheres and molecules in the system.

The closure relation for the set of equations (4) in the case of equal size of hard spheres and molecular sites,  $\sigma_{ii} = \sigma_{is} = \sigma_{ss} = \sigma$ , is given by

$$h^{(s)}(r) = -1 \qquad r < \sigma$$

$$c^{(s)}(r) = 0 \qquad r > \sigma.$$
(6)

The present analytic solution of the set of equations (4) coupled by closure relation (6) is based on the generalisation of the method introduced in [6-11].

The main results of the present paper were published in [16]. Recently for a mixture of hard spheres and dumb-bells with  $l_s = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$  the set of ssoz equations was solved in the ZPA by Cummings and Stell [17]. Using the numerical method of Lowden and Chandler [18] the SSRDF for a mixture of hard spheres and dumb-bells were considered in [19].

### 2. Wiener-Hopf factorisation

The set of equations (4) can be conveniently written in dimensionless form as

$$[w^{-1}(k) - c(k)][w(k) + h(k)] = 1$$
(7)

where the matrices c(k) and h(k) are

$$c_{lm}(k) = (6/\pi)(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} c_{lm}^{(s)}(k) = 2 \int_0^1 S_{lm}(r) \cos(kr) dr$$

$$h_{lm}(k) = (6/\pi)(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} h_{lm}^{(s)}(k) = 2 \int_0^\infty J_{lm}(r) \cos(kr) dr.$$
(8)

Here  $l = (i, s), m = (i, s), \eta_i = \pi N_i \sigma^3 / 6V, \eta_s = \pi n_s N \sigma^3 / 6V$ , and

$$S_{lm}(r) = 12(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} \int_{-r}^{1} t c_{lm}^{(s)}(t) dt$$

$$V_{lm}(r) = 12(r_{lm})^{1/2} \int_{-r}^{\infty} dr(r)(r) dr$$
(9)

$$J_{lm}(r) = 12(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} \int_r^\infty t h_{lm}^{(s)}(t) \, \mathrm{d} t.$$

Owing to the finiteness of the functions w(k) and h(k) for all real k, the symmetric matrix  $w^{-1}(k) - c(k)$  can be expressed as

$$w^{-1}(k) - c(k) = Q^{\mathrm{T}}(-k)Q(k).$$
(10)

The elements of the matrix Q(k) are analytic in the upper half-plane and the elements of the matrix  $Q^{T}(-k)$  are analytic in the lower half-plane. When  $k \to \infty$  it follows from (10) that  $Q(k) \to 1$ . Thus the inverse Fourier transformation of the matrix elements  $[1 - Q(k)]_{lm}$  can be represented by

$$12(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} q_{lm}(r) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \delta_{lm} - Q_{lm}(k) \right] \exp(-ikr) \, \mathrm{d}k. \tag{11}$$

For r < 0, closing the integration contour round the upper half-plane we have

$$q_{lm}(r) = 0 \qquad r < 0.$$
 (12)

Owing to the relation (10) the analytic continuation of the matrix Q(k) into the lower half-plane is defined by

$$Q(k) = [Q^{\mathrm{T}}(-k)]^{-1} [w^{-1}(k) - c(k)].$$
(13)

Thus, the elements of the matrix q(r) can be represented as

$$q_{lm}(r) = \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{lm}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_n r) \qquad r \ge 1$$
(14)

where  $\lambda_n$  are the roots of the equation

$$1 + (n_s - 1)s(k) = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\xi_{lm}^{(n)} = \mathbf{i} [Q^{\mathrm{T}}(-\lambda_n)]_{lm}^{-1} \delta_{ms} [6(\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2} (n_s - 1)s'(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \qquad L = l_s / \sigma.$$
(16)

From equations (7) and (10) we have

$$Q(k)[w(k) + h(k)] = [Q^{\mathrm{T}}(-k)]^{-1}.$$
(17)

Considering equation (17) in real space we obtain the set of equations for the functions  $J_{lm}(r)$ 

$$J_{lm}(r) = 12(\eta_{l}\eta_{m})^{1/2}q_{lm}(r) + 12\sum_{p}(\eta_{l}\eta_{p})^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{\infty}q_{lp}(t)J_{pm}(|r-t|)\,\mathrm{d}t + \delta_{ps}\delta_{ms}\tilde{n}_{s}\int_{r-L}^{r+L}q_{lp}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ - \delta_{ls}\delta_{ms}\tilde{n}_{s}\theta(L-r)$$
(18)

where

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \tilde{n}_s = (n_s - 1)/2L.$$

Differentiating with respect to r gives the set of equations for the functions  $h_{lm}^{(s)}(r)$ 

$$rh_{lm}^{(s)}(r) = -q'_{lm}(r) - [\tilde{n}_{s}(\delta_{ms}\delta_{ls})/12\eta_{s}]\delta(L-r) - \tilde{n}_{s}\delta_{ms}[q_{lm}(r+L) - q_{lm}(r-L)] + 12\sum_{p}\eta_{p}\int_{0}^{\infty}q_{lp}(t)(r-t)h_{pm}^{(s)}(|r-t|)\,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(19)

When  $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$  it follows that (18) corresponds to a one-component molecular system [6–8], and when  $\eta_s \rightarrow 0$  (18) corresponds to the Percus–Yevick approximation for a one-component hard-sphere system.

# 3. Derivation of the Baxter functions

Once the functions  $q_{lm}(r)$  are known, the set of equations (19) may be used to derive the total correlation functions  $h_{lm}^{(s)}(r)$ . For this purpose let us use the set of equations (19) at 0 < r < 1. Owing to the closure relation (6) and to (14) for the functions  $q_{lm}(r)$  we have the set of differential equations

$$q'_{lm}(r) + \delta_{ms}\tilde{n}_{s}[q_{ls}(r+L) - q_{ls}(r-L)] + [\delta_{ms}\delta_{ls}\tilde{n}_{s}/12\eta_{s}]\delta(r-L) = a_{lm}r + b_{lm} + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d^{(n)}_{lm}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) \equiv B_{lm}(r)$$
(20)

where

where  

$$a_{lm} = 1 - 12 \sum_{p} \eta_{p} \int q_{lp}(t) dt - 12 \eta_{s} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{ls}^{(n)} / (i\lambda_{n}) \exp(-i\lambda_{n})$$

$$b_{lm} = 12 \sum_{p} \eta_{p} \int q_{lp}(t) t dt - 12 \eta_{s} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{ls}^{(n)} [\lambda_{n}^{-2} - 1/(i\lambda_{n})] \exp(-i\lambda_{n})$$

$$d_{lm}^{(n)} = -12 \eta_{s} \xi_{ls}^{(n)} F_{sm}(i\lambda_{n}).$$
(21)

The Laplace transform of the corresponding SSRDF is

æ

$$F_{lm}(\mathrm{i}\lambda_n) = \int_0^{\infty} r \exp(-\mathrm{i}\lambda_n r) [h_{lm}^{(s)}(r) + 1] \,\mathrm{d}r.$$

The boundary and discontinuity conditions on the functions  $q_{lm}(r)$  are

$$q_{lm}(1) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{lm}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_n)$$

$$q_{ls}(L^{-}) - q_{ls}(L^{+}) = \delta_{ls} \tilde{n}_s / 12\eta_s.$$
(22)

To solve the set of equations (20) consider now two different cases: (a)  $\frac{1}{2} \le L < 1$  and  $(b)_{\frac{1}{3}} \leq L < \frac{1}{2}.$ 

## 3.1. Case (a) $\frac{1}{2} \le L < 1$

Let us divide the interval  $0 < r \le 1$  into three sub-intervals

$$I = (0, 1 - L)$$
  $II = (1 - L, L)$   $III = (L, 1).$ 

Consideration of the set of equations (20) in each of these sub-intervals gives

$$q'_{li}(r) = B_{li}(r) \qquad 0 < r \le 1$$
(23)

$$q_{ls}'(r) + \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) = B_{ls}(r) \qquad r \in \mathbf{I}$$

$$q'_{ls}(r) = B_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) \qquad r \in \mathbf{II}$$
(24)

$$q'_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r-L) = B_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) \qquad r \in \mathrm{III}.$$

Using (23) and the second equation in (24) we have

$$q_{li}(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - 1)a_{li} + (r - 1)b_{li} - \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{li}^{(n)} / (i\lambda_n) [\exp(-i\lambda_n r) - \exp(-i\lambda_n)] \qquad 0 < r \le 1$$
(25a)

$$q_{ls}(r) = \frac{1}{2}r^{2}a_{ls} + b_{ls}r - \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i\lambda_{n})}[d_{ls}^{(n)} - \tilde{n}_{s}\xi_{ls}^{(n)}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}L)] \\ \times \exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + e_{ls} \qquad r \in \operatorname{II}.$$
(25b)

From the last equations in (24) it follows that

$$q_{ls}''(r) + \tilde{n}_s^2 q_{ls}(r) = B_{ls}'(r) - \tilde{n}_s B_{ls}(r+L) + \tilde{n}_s^2 q_{ls}(r+2L) \qquad r \in \mathbf{I}.$$
 (26)

Solution of this equation can be expressed in the form

$$q_{ls}(r) = \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} (\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} - r - L) a_{ls} - \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} b_{ls} + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + f_{ls} \cos(\tilde{n}_{s}r) - t_{ls} \sin(\tilde{n}_{s}r) \qquad r \in \mathrm{I}$$
(27)

where

$$\alpha_{ls}^{(n)} = [\xi_{ls}^{(n)} \tilde{n}_s^2 \exp(-i\lambda_n 2L) - d_{ls}^{(n)} (i\lambda_n + \tilde{n}_s \exp(-i\lambda_n L))] (\tilde{n}_s^2 - \lambda_n^2)^{-1}.$$

Now it is not difficult to obtain the expressions for the function  $q_{lm}(r)$  when  $r \in III$ 

$$q_{ls}(r) = \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}(r - L + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1})a_{ls} + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}b_{ls} + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + f_{ls} \sin[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - L)] + t_{ls} \cos[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - L)] \qquad r \in \operatorname{III}$$
(28)

where

$$\mu_{ls} = (d_{ls}^{(n)} + i\lambda_n \alpha_{ls}^{(n)}) \exp(i\lambda_n L).$$

The constants  $f_{ls}$ ,  $t_{ls}$  and  $e_{ls}$  can be evaluated using the boundary conditions (22) and continuity condition on the function  $q_{ls}(r)$ 

$$q_{ls}(1-L+\delta) = q_{ls}(1-L-\delta) \qquad \delta \to 0.$$

3.2. *Case* (b)  $\frac{1}{3} \le L < \frac{1}{2}$ 

Following the method proposed in [10] let us divide the whole interval  $0 \le r < 1$  into five sub-intervals

$$I = (0, 1 - 2L) \qquad II = (1 - 2L, L) \qquad III = (L, 1 - L)$$
$$IV = (1 - L, 2L) \qquad V = (2L, 1). \tag{29}$$

In each of these sub-intervals the set of equations (20) have the following form

$$q'_{ll}(r) = B_{ll}(r) 0 < r \le 1 (30)$$

$$q'_{ls}(r) + \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) = B_{ls}(r) \qquad r \in \mathbf{I}$$
(31)

$$q'_{ls}(r) + \hat{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) = B_{ls}(r)$$
  $r \in II$  (32)

$$q'_{ls}(r) + \tilde{n}_{s}[q_{ls}(r+L) - q_{ls}(r-L)] = B_{ls}(r) \qquad r \in \text{III}$$
(33)

$$q'_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_{s}q_{ls}(r-L) = B_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_{s}q_{ls}(r+L) \qquad r \in IV$$
(34)

$$q'_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r-L) = B_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+L) \qquad r \in \mathbf{V}.$$
(35)

From (30) immediately follows the expression for  $q_{li}(r)$ 

$$q_{li}(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - 1)a_{li} + (r - 1)b_{li} - \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{li}^{(n)}}{(i\lambda_n)} [\exp(-i\lambda_n r - \exp(i\lambda_n)].$$
(36)

Considering equations (31), (33) and (35) and then (32) and (34) gives the following two independent differential equations

$$q_{ls}^{\prime\prime\prime}(r) + 2\tilde{n}_{s}^{2}q_{ls}^{\prime}(r) = B_{ls}^{\prime\prime}(r) - \tilde{n}_{s}B_{ls}^{\prime}(r+L) + \tilde{n}_{s}^{2}[B_{ls}(r+2L) + B_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_{s}q_{ls}(r+3L)] \qquad r \in \mathbf{I}$$
(37)

$$q_{ls}''(r) + \tilde{n}_s^2 q_{ls}(r) = B_{ls}'(r) - \tilde{n}_s [B_{ls}(r+L) + \tilde{n}_s q_{ls}(r+2L)] \qquad r \in \text{II}.$$
(38)

Solution of these equations determines the functions  $q_{ls}(r)$  in the intervals I and II respectively

$$q_{ls}(r) = \frac{1}{2}r^{2}a_{ls} + [L(n_{s} - 2)/(n_{s} - 1)a_{ls} + b_{ls}]r + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_{ls}^{(n)}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + v_{ls} + k_{ls}\sin(\sqrt{2}\tilde{n}_{s}r) + p_{ls}\cos(\sqrt{2}\tilde{n}_{s}r) \qquad r \in I$$
(39)

$$q_{ls}(r) = \bar{n}_s^{-1} [(\tilde{n}_s^{-1} - r - L)a_{ls} - b_{ls}] + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1} \alpha_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_n r) + u_{ls} \cos[\tilde{n}_s(r - 1 + 2L)] + w_{ls} \sin[\tilde{n}_s(r - 1 + 2L)] \qquad r \in \operatorname{II}$$
(40)

where

$$\beta_{ls}^{(n)} = [\tilde{n}_s^3 \xi_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-3i\lambda_n L) - \tilde{n}_s^2 d_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-2i\lambda_n L) - \tilde{n}_s d_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(-i\lambda_n L) - d_{ls}^{(n)} (2\tilde{n}_s^2 - 2\lambda_n^2)] [i\lambda_n (\tilde{n}_s^2 - \lambda_n^2)]^{-1}.$$

Now using equations (31)–(35), it is easy to obtain the functions  $q_{ls}(r)$  for another three sub-intervals

$$q_{ls}(r) = -2L^{2}(n_{s}-2)/(n_{s}-1)^{2}a_{ls} + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{ls}^{(n)}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) -\sqrt{2}k_{ls}\cos[\sqrt{2}\tilde{n}_{s}(r-L)] + \sqrt{2}p_{ls}\sin[\sqrt{2}\tilde{n}_{s}(r-L)] \quad r \in \operatorname{III} \quad (41)$$
$$q_{ls}(r) - \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}[(r-L+\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1})a_{ls}+b_{ls}] + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}\operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_{ls}^{(n)}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + u_{ls}\sin[\tilde{n}_{s}(r-1+L)] - w_{ls}\cos[\tilde{n}_{s}(r-1+L)] \quad r \in \operatorname{IV} \quad (42)$$
$$q_{ls}(r) = \frac{1}{2}r^{2}a_{ls} + [b_{ls} - La_{ls}(n_{s}-2)/(n_{s}-1)]r + 2Lb_{ls}(2-n_{s})/(n_{s}-1) + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_{ln}^{(n)}\exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + v_{ls} - k_{s}\sin[\sqrt{2}\tilde{n}(r-2L)]$$

$$+ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{ls}^{(r)} \exp(-i\lambda_{n}r) + b_{ls} - k_{ls} \sin[\sqrt{2n_{s}(r-2L)}]$$
$$- p_{ls} \cos[\sqrt{2n_{s}(r-2L)}] \quad r \in V$$
(43)

where

$$\chi_{ls}^{(n)} = \tilde{n}_s^{-1} d_{ls}^{(n)} \exp(i\lambda_n L) + [\tilde{n}_s^{-1} i\lambda_n (d_{ls}^{(n)} + i\lambda_n \beta_{ls}^{(n)} + \beta_{ls}^{(n)}] \exp(2i\lambda_n L)$$

2898 M F Holovko and Yu V Kalyuzhnij

$$\gamma_{ls}^{(n)} = (d_{ls}^{(n)} - \mathrm{i}\lambda_n\beta_{ls}^{(n)})\exp(\mathrm{i}\lambda_nL).$$

The expressions for  $\alpha_{ls}^{(n)}$  and  $\mu_{ls}^{(n)}$  are the same, as in case (a). To determine the constants of integration in (39)–(43) the boundary conditions (22) and continuity conditions on the function  $q_{ls}(r)$ 

$$q_{ls}(1-2L+\delta) = q_{ls}(1-2L-\delta) \qquad q_{ls}(1-L+\delta) = q_{ls}(1-L-\delta)$$

$$q_{ls}(2L+\delta) = q_{ls}(2L-\delta) \qquad \delta \to 0$$

$$(44)$$

must be used.

Expressions for the functions  $q_{im}(r)$  also contain the constants  $a_{lm}$ ,  $b_{lm}$  and  $\xi_{lm}^{(n)}$ , which can be evaluated from the equations, derived with the help of (21) and (11).

As follows from (14) the functions  $q_{lm}(r)$  contain an infinite sum of terms, which is determined by  $1 + (n_s - 1)s(k) = 0$ . It can be shown that for large n

$$\lambda_n L \simeq (2n + \frac{3}{2})\pi - i \ln[(4n + 3)\pi/(n_s - 1)]$$

and

$$Q^{\mathrm{T}}(\lambda_n) \rightarrow 1 \qquad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using these conditions it is not difficult to prove the convergence of the series in (14) when  $\eta_s \neq 0$  and r > L.

#### 4. Zero-pole approximation

In order to provide further calculations the infinite series for  $q_{im}(r)$  when r > 1 must be terminated. As in the case of a one-component molecular system [7–11] consideration of the whole series in (14) is important only when  $\eta_s \rightarrow 0$ . For sufficiently high values of  $\eta_s$  one need take into account only the first few terms of the infinite series for  $q_{im}(r)$  [8] or use the ZPA, due to which the whole series in (14) can be neglected [10].

In ZPA in view of (25), (27) and (28) for  $\frac{1}{2} \le L \le 1$  we have

$$q_{li}(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r^{2} - 1)a_{li} + b_{li}(r - 1) \qquad 0 < r \le 1$$

$$q_{ls}(r) = (\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} - r - L)\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}a_{ls} - \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}b_{ls} + f_{ls}\cos(\tilde{n}_{s}r) - t_{ls}\sin(\tilde{n}_{s}r) \qquad r \in I \qquad (45)$$

$$q_{ls}(r) = \frac{1}{2}a_{ls}r^{2} + b_{ls}r + e_{ls} \qquad r \in II$$

$$q_{ls}(r) + \tilde{n}_s^{-1}(r - L + \tilde{n}_s^{-1})a_{ls} + \tilde{n}_s^{-1}b_{ls} + f_{ls}\sin[\tilde{n}_s(r - L)] + t_{ls}\cos[\tilde{n}_s(r - L)] \qquad r \in \text{III.}$$

Taking into consideration (21), boundary conditions (22) and continuity conditions on the function  $q_{is}(r)$  when r = 1 - L we find the set of linear equations for the constants  $a_{lm}$ ,  $b_{lm}$ ,  $t_{ls}$ ,  $f_{ls}$  and  $e_{ls}$ :

$$X^{(1)}A^{(1)} = R^{(1)}$$

$$a_{ls} = a_{li}$$

$$b_{ls} = b_{li}$$
(46)

where  $X^{(1)} = (a_{ls}, b_{ls}, t_{ls}, f_{ls}, e_{ls})$  and the elements of the matrices  $A^{(1)}$  and  $R^{(1)}$  are presented in the Appendix.

Considering the case when  $\frac{1}{3} \le L < \frac{1}{2}$  and taking into account (36), (39)–(43) in the ZPA we find

$$\begin{aligned} q_{li}(r) &= \frac{1}{2}(r^{2} - 1)a_{li} + b_{li}(r - 1) & 0 < r \leq 1 \\ q_{ls}(r) &= \frac{1}{2}r^{2}a_{ls} + [L(n_{s} - 2)/(n_{s} - 1)a_{ls} + b_{ls}]r + v_{ls} + k_{ls}\sin(\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}r) \\ &+ p_{ls}\cos(\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}r) & r \in I \\ q_{ls}(r) &= \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}[(\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1} - r - L)a_{ls} - b_{ls}] + u_{ls}\cos[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 1 + 2L)] \\ &+ w_{ls}\sin[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 1 + 2L)] & r \in II \\ q_{ls}(r) &= -2L^{2}(n_{s} - 2)/(n_{s} - 1)^{2}a_{ls} - \sqrt{2}\,k_{ls}\cos[\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}(r - L)] \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\,p_{ls}\sin[\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}(r - L)] & r \in III \\ q_{ls}(r) &= \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}[(r - L + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1})a_{ls} + b_{ls}] + u_{ls}\sin[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 1 + L)] \\ &- w_{ls}\cos[\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 1 + L)] & r \in IV \\ q_{ls}(r) &= \frac{1}{2}a_{ls}r^{2} + [b_{ls} - L(n_{s} - 2)/(n_{s} - 1)a_{ls}]r + (2 - n_{s})\tilde{n}_{s}^{-1}b_{ls} + v_{ls} \\ &+ k_{ls}\sin[\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 2L)] - p_{ls}\cos[\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{n}_{s}(r - 2L)] & r \in V \end{aligned}$$

and the constants  $a_{lm}$ ,  $b_{lm}$ ,  $v_{lm}$ ,  $k_{lm}$ ,  $p_{lm}$ ,  $u_{lm}$ ,  $w_{lm}$  can be determined from the set of linear equations

$$X^{(2)}A^{(2)} = R^{(2)}$$

$$a_{ls} = a_{li}$$

$$b_{ls} = b_{li}$$
(48)

where  $X^{(2)} = (a_{ls}, b_{ls}, v_{ls}, k_{ls}, p_{ls}, u_{ls}, w_{ls})$  and the elements of the matrices  $A^{(2)}$  and  $R^{(2)}$  are presented in the Appendix.

Finally, considering (19) in the ZPA when r = 1 yields the contact values for the SSRDF  $g_{lm}(r) = h_{lm}^{(s)}(r) + 1$ 

$$g_{lm}(1^{+}) = \tilde{n}_{s} \delta_{ms} [(1-L)^{2}/2a_{ls} + (1-L)b_{ls} + e_{ls}] + a_{lm} + b_{lm} \qquad \frac{1}{2} < L < 1$$
  

$$g_{lm}(1^{+}) = (n_{s} - 1)\delta_{ms} \{1/(n_{s} - 1)[(1-2L + \tilde{n}_{s}^{-1})a_{ls} + b_{ls}] - w_{ls}/(2L)\} + a_{lm} + b_{lm} \qquad \frac{1}{3} \leq L \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

## 5. Site-site radial distribution functions

Substituting into the set of equations (19) the expression for the SSRDF  $g_{lm}(r) = h_{lm}^{(s)}(r) + 1$  yields

$$q'_{lm}(r) + \tilde{n}_{s} \delta_{ms} [q_{lm}(r+L) - q_{lm}(r-L)] + \delta_{ms} \delta_{lm} \tilde{n}_{s} \delta(r-L)/12\eta_{s} - r + 12 \sum_{p} \eta_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} q_{lp}(t)(r-t) dt - 12 \sum_{p} \eta_{p} \int_{r}^{\infty} q_{lp}(t)(r-t)g_{pm}(t-r) dt + rg_{lm}(r) - 12 \sum_{p} \eta_{p} \int_{0}^{r} q_{lp}(t)(r-t)g_{pm}(r-t) dt = 0.$$
(49)

With the help of the Laplace transformation the set of equations (49) can be written

in the form

$$\hat{g}_{lm}(s) - 12 \sum_{p} (\eta_p / \eta_l)^{1/2} \hat{Q}_{lp}(s) \hat{g}_{pm}(s) = -\hat{\Xi}_{lm}(s) / 12 (\eta_l \eta_m)^{1/2}$$
(50)

where

$$\hat{g}_{lm}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r \exp(-sr)g_{lm}(r) dr$$

$$\hat{Q}_{lm}(s) = 12(\eta_{l}\eta_{m})^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-sr)q_{lm}(r) dr$$

$$\hat{\Xi}_{lm}(s)/12(\eta_{l}\eta_{m})^{1/2} = \int_{1}^{1+L} \{q'_{lm}(r) + \delta_{ms}\tilde{n}_{s}[q_{ls}(r+L) - q_{ls}(r-L)]\} \exp(-sr) dr - \int_{1}^{\infty} B_{lm}(r) \exp(-sr) dr.$$
(51)

The set of equations (50) yields the following expression for the SSRDF

$$12(\eta_{l}\eta_{m})^{1/2}g_{lm}(r) = (2\pi i r)^{-1} \sum_{p} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} [\hat{Q}(s) - 1]_{lp}^{-1} \hat{\Xi}_{pm}(s) \exp(sr) \,\mathrm{d}s$$
(52)

and when  $s = i\lambda_n$  gives the relation between  $F_{lm}(i\lambda_n)$  and  $\xi_{lm}^{(n)}$ 

$$-F_{lm}(i\lambda_n) + 12\sum_{p} (\eta_p/\eta_l)^{1/2} \hat{Q}_{lp}(-\lambda_n) F_{pm}(i\lambda_n) = \hat{\Xi}_{lm}(i\lambda_n)/12(\eta_l\eta_m)^{1/2}$$

This relation can be used for determination of the constants  $d_{lm}^{(n)}$ . To illustrate the method of obtaining the SSRDF let us consider the case when  $L = \frac{1}{2}$ . Combining equations (45), (51) and (52) we find

$$12(\eta_{i}\eta_{m})^{1/2}g_{lm}(r) = (2\pi i r)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} N^{-1}(s) \sum_{j=1}^{4} \{K_{lm}^{(j)}(s) \\ \times \exp[(j-6)s/2]\} \exp(sr) \, ds$$

$$N(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} P_{j}(s) \exp[(j-5)s/2]$$
(53)

where expressions for the functions  $K_{lm}^{(j)}(s)$  and  $P_j(s)$  (where j = 1, ...) are presented in the Appendix.

Using the following form for N(s) in (53)

$$N^{-1}(s) = \exp(s) \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n / P_5^{n+1}(s)$$
  
$$\sum_{\{m_i\}} n! / (m_1! m_2! m_3! m_4!) P_4^{m_1}(s) P_3^{m_2}(s) P_2^{m_3}(s) P_1^{m_4}(s) \exp[-s(\alpha+1)]$$

we finally get the expressions for the SSRDF

$$12(\eta_{l}\eta_{m})^{1/2}g_{lm}(r) = (r)^{-1} \sum_{n \ge 0} \sum_{l=1}^{5} \lim_{s \to s_{l}} d^{n}/ds^{n} \\ \times \left[ (s-s_{l})^{n+1}(-1)^{n}/P_{5}^{n+1}(s) \sum_{\{m_{l}\}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{4} P_{5-j}^{m_{j}}(s) \right) \right] / \left( \prod_{j=1}^{4} m_{j}! \right)$$

2900



**Figure 2.** The site-site radial distribution functions of a mixture of hard spheres and dumb-bells  $(L = \frac{1}{2})$  at  $\eta_s = 0.2$  and  $\eta_i = 0$  (- - - -),  $\eta_i = 0.1$  (- - -),  $\eta_i = 0.2$  (- - -),  $\eta_i = 0.3$  (- - -) and  $\eta_i = 0.4$  (- -). The contact values at  $\eta_i = 0.4$  are  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 6.2$ ,  $g_{is}(1^+) = 5.0$  and  $g_{ss}(1^-) = 3.9$ ; and at  $\eta_i = 0.3$  is  $g_{is}(1^+) = 3.2$ .



**Figure 3.** The site-site radial distribution functions of a mixture of hard spheres and dumb-bells  $(L = \frac{1}{2})$  at  $\eta_s = 0.4$ . The legend for  $\eta_i$  is the same as that of figure 2. The contact values at  $\eta_i = 0.3$  are  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 8.0$ ,  $g_{is}(1^+) = 6.4$  and  $g_{ss}(1^+) = 5.1$ ; and at  $\eta_i = 0.2$  are  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 4.9$  and  $g_{ss}(1^+) = 2.8$ .

$$\times \sum_{j=1}^{4} K_{lm}^{(5-j)}(s) \theta[r - \alpha - (j+1)/2] \exp\{s[r - \alpha - (j+1)/2]\}$$
(54)

where  $s_l$  are the roots of the equation  $P_5(s) = 0$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}m_1 + m_2 + \frac{3}{2}m_3 + 2m_4$ 

$$\sum_{\{m_i\}} \to \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 > 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i = n.$$

## 6. Results

In figures 2–5 we present the SSRDF for a mixture of hard spheres with dumb-bells (figures 2 and 3) and for a mixture of hard spheres with tetrahedral tetra-atomics



**Figure 4.** The site-site radial distribution functions of a mixture of hard spheres and tetraatomics  $(L = \frac{1}{2})$  at  $\eta_s = 0.35$ . The legend for  $\eta_i$  is the same as that of figure 2. The contact values at  $\eta_i = 0.3$  are  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 4.4$  and  $g_{is}(1^-) = 3.2$ ; and at  $\eta_i = 0.2$  is  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 2.8$ .



**Figure 5.** The site-site radial distribution functions of a mixture of hard spheres and tetraatomics  $(L = \frac{1}{2})$  at  $\eta_s = 0.45$ . The legend for  $\eta_i$  is the same as that of figure 2. The contact value of  $g_{ii}(r)$  at  $\eta_i = 0.2$  is 3.6.

(figures 4 and 5). The qualitative behaviour of the SSRDF  $g_{ii}(r)$  and  $g_{ss}(r)$  is the same as in the case of one-component hard-sphere or one-component molecular systems. The radial distribution function  $g_{is}(r)$  has some characteristic features of  $g_{ss}(r)$  as well as those of  $g_{ii}(r)$ . Particularly it has a cusp at r = 1 + L. The contact values of the SSRDF  $g_{ss}(r)$  and  $g_{is}(r)$  are lower than those of  $g_{ii}(r)$ . In the case presented in figure 4, the contact value of the function  $g_{ss}(r)$  becomes less than zero. This fact illustrates that the ZPA for such values of densities is not good. As was shown in [14] for the triatomic and tetra-atomic molecules, the ZPA overestimates the structure of the system and gives the physically meaningless jump discontinuity of the SSRDF  $g_{ss}(r)$  at r = 2L. The role of poles of the function  $[1 + (n_s - 1)s(k)]$  increases with decrease in density and/or increase in the number of sites and/or increase in the molecular elongation L. Results of calculations of the above system SSRDF taking into account the poles will be published elsewhere. Finally, in figure 6 we present a comparison of the distribution



**Figure 6.** The radial distribution functions  $g_{ii}(r)$  of a mixture of hard spheres and dumb-bells (-----), hard spheres and triatomics (---) and hard spheres and tetra-atomics (...) at  $\eta_s^0 = 0.5$  and  $\eta_i = 0.05$ . The intra-molecular distance L between sites is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The contact values are  $g_{ii}(1^+) =$ 4.7 (----),  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 3.9 (----)$  and  $g_{ii}(1^+) = 3.4$  $(\cdots)$ .

functions  $g_{ii}(r)$  for the systems with different shapes of the molecules at equal values of hard-sphere density ( $\eta_i = 0.05$ ) and molecular packing parameter  $\eta_s^0 = V_{n_s}\rho_s$ ( $\eta_s^0 = 0.5$ ). Here  $V_{n_s}$  is the volume of the  $n_s$ -site molecule and  $g_{ii}^{(n_s)}(r)$  is the spheresphere radial distribution function of a mixture of hard spheres and  $n_s$ -atomic molecules. Let us note that it is impossible to obtain coincidence of the curves for  $g_{ii}^{(n_s)}(r)$  at arbitrary molecular density  $\eta_s = 0$  for each system and equal values of hardsphere densities. Such coincidence can be obtained only if  $\eta_s^0 \rightarrow 0$ . Thus the difference between these radial distribution functions shows the influence of molecular shape on the structure of the system. The following relations hold between the contact values and the values of the first maxima of the radial distribution functions  $g_{ii}^{(n_s)}(r)$  for different  $n_s$  (figure 6)



Figure 7. Possible positions of two spheres in contact: (a) for a mixture of hard spheres with dumbbells, (b) for a mixture of hard spheres with triatomics and (c) for a mixture of hard spheres with tetra-atomics. The configurations in which three sites of the molecule are at the same time in contact with a sphere occur more often in (c) than in (b).



Figure 8. Configurations that give the main contribution to the first maximum of the functions  $g_{ii}^{(2)}(r), g_{ii}^{(3)}(r)$  and  $g_{ii}^{(4)}(r)$  when  $\eta_s^0 \ge \eta_i$ . In this position the dumb-bells have the largest degree of freedom. The lowest degree of freedom is in the case of tetra-atomics.

where  $r_{\rm M}$  is the position of the first maximum. These relations can be explained as follows. As is clear from figures 7 and 8 the largest average number of configurations which can be realised when the distance between two spheres is r = 1 (figure 7) or  $r = r_{\rm M}$  (figure 8) occurs for the mixture of hard spheres with dumb-bells and the lowest for the mixture of hard spheres with tetra-atomics.

# Appendix

Expressions for the elements of the matrices  $A^{(1)}$ ,  $A^{(2)}$ ,  $R^{(1)}$  and  $R^{(2)}$ , which are involved in equations (46) and (48):

$$\begin{split} A_{1,1}^{(1)} &= 4\eta_i - 12\eta_s \{L(1-L)\tilde{n}_s^{-1}[4/(n_s-1)-1] + \frac{1}{6}(2L-1)(L^2 - L + 1)\} - 1 \\ A_{2,1}^{(1)} &= 6\eta_i - 6\eta_s(2L-1) \\ A_{3,1}^{(1)} &= -12\eta_s \tilde{n}_s^{-1} \{\cos[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] + \sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] - 1\} \\ A_{4,1}^{(1)} &= -12\eta_s \tilde{n}_s^{-1} \{\sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] - \cos[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] + 1\} \\ A_{5,1}^{(1)} &= -12\eta_s(2L-1) \\ A_{1,2}^{(1)} &= 12\eta_s \{L(1-L)^2/(n_s-1)[(2/(n_s-1) - \frac{1}{6})L - \frac{2}{3}] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}(2L-1)(2L^2 - 2L + 1) + L/(n_s-1) \\ \times [\frac{2}{6}(1-L^3) + L(2/(n_s-1) - 1)(1-L^2)]\} - \frac{3}{2}\eta_i \\ A_{2,2}^{(1)} &= 12\eta_s[L(1-L)\tilde{n}_s^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}(2L-1)(L^2 - L + 1)] - 2\eta_i - 1 \\ A_{3,2}^{(1)} &= -12\tilde{n}_s^{-2}\eta_s\{(1-\tilde{n}_s)\sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] - [1+\tilde{n}_s(1-L)]\cos[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] + 1\} \\ A_{4,2}^{(1)} &= 12\tilde{n}_s^{-2}\eta_s\{(1-\tilde{n}_s)\sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] - [1+\tilde{n}_s(1-L)]\cos[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)]] + (1-\tilde{n}_s)\cos[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] + (n_s-1)/2 - 1\} \\ A_{4,2}^{(1)} &= 12\tilde{n}_s^{-2}\eta_s\{(1+\tilde{n}_s(1-L)]\sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] + (n_s-1)/2 - 1\} \\ A_{5,2}^{(1)} &= 6\eta_s(2L-1) \\ A_{5,2}^{(1)} &= 6\eta_s(2L-1) \\ A_{1,3}^{(1)} &= L^2[4/(n_s-1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}] + L[1-2/(n_s-1)] - \frac{1}{2} \\ A_{2,3}^{(1)} &= L\tilde{n}_s^{-1}(1+\tilde{n}_s^{-1}-L) \\ A_{1,4}^{(1)} &= \sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] \\ A_{4,4}^{(1)} &= \sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] \\ A_{4,4}^{(1)} &= \sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] \\ A_{4,4}^{(1)} &= \sin[\tilde{n}_s(1-L)] \\ A_{4,5}^{(1)} &= 0 \\ A_{4,5}^{(1)} &= 0 \\ A_{4,5}^{(1)} &= 0 \\ A_{4,5}^{(1)} &= -\delta_k \tilde{n}_s/12\eta_s \\ A_{4,1}^{(1)} &= 4\eta_i - 12\eta_s \{L^3[24/(n_s-1)^2 - 6/(n_s-1) - \frac{9}{3}] \\ &+ L^2[-8/(n_s-1)^2 + 2/(n_s-1) + 2] - L + \frac{1}{3} - 4L^2(n_s-2) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \times (1-2L)/(n_{s}-1)^{2} - 1 \\ A_{2,1}^{(2)} &= 6\eta_{i} - 12\eta_{s}[1-2L+(2-n_{s})(1-2L)\bar{n}_{s}^{-1}] \\ A_{3,1}^{(2)} &= -24\eta_{s}(1-2L) \\ A_{4,1}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(S_{2}-C_{2}+1)\bar{n}_{s}^{-1} \\ A_{5,1}^{(2)} &= -12\eta_{s}(1-C_{1})\bar{n}_{s}^{-1} \\ A_{5,1}^{(2)} &= -12\eta_{s}(S_{2}-C_{2}+1)\bar{n}_{s}^{-1} \\ A_{7,1}^{(2)} &= -12\eta_{s}(L^{-5}S_{2}-C_{2})\bar{n}_{s}^{-1} \\ A_{1,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(L^{-5}S_{2}-C_{2})\bar{n}_{s}^{-1} \\ A_{1,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(L^{-5}(n_{s}-1)^{2}-4] + L^{2}[3-4/(n_{s}-1)^{2}] - L + \frac{1}{4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(n_{s}-2)(1-2L)n_{s}^{-1}[\frac{1}{3}(1-2L)^{2} - L/(n_{s}-1)]] - 2L + \frac{3}{2} \\ &+ L(2-n_{s})(1-4L^{2})/(n_{s}-1)] - 2\eta_{s} - 1 \\ A_{2,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(L^{-5}(n_{s}-1) - \frac{16}{3}] + L^{2}[4-2/(n_{s}-1)] - 2L + \frac{3}{2} \\ &+ L(2-n_{s})(1-4L^{2})/(n_{s}-1)] - 2\eta_{s} - 1 \\ A_{3,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(1-2L) \\ A_{4,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(1-2L) \\ A_{4,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(\sqrt{8}(n_{s}-2)L^{2}(C_{1}-1)/(n_{s}-1)^{2} - 2(1-L)LS_{1}/(n_{s}-1)] \\ A_{5,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(\sqrt{8}(n_{s}-2)L^{2}(C_{1}-1)/(n_{s}-1) - 2L^{2}(n_{s}-1) - 2L(1-L)C_{1}/(n_{s}-1)] \\ A_{5,2}^{(2)} &= 12\eta_{s}(\sqrt{8}(n_{s}-2)L^{2}/(n_{s}-1)^{2} + (2-n_{s})2L^{2}C_{2}/(n_{s}-1)^{2} \\ &+ L(1-L)/(n_{s}-1) - 2L^{2}/(n_{s}-1)^{2} \\ &+ L(1-L)/(n_{s}-1) - 2L^{2}/(n_{s}-1)^{2} \\ &+ L(1-L)/(n_{s}-1) + 2L^{2}/(n_{s}-1)^{2} \\ &+ L(1-2L)L/(n_{s}-1) + A_{2,3}^{(2)} = 1 \\ &+ A_{2,4}^{(2)} = -\sqrt{2} \\ &+ A_{3,4}^{(2)} = 0 \\ &+ A_{3,4}$$

Expressions for the functions  $P_j(s)$  and  $K_{lm}^{(j)}(s)$  (j = 1, 2, ...) which appear in the expressions for SSRDF (53) and (54):

$$\begin{split} &P_{j}(s) = P_{i,j}s^{j} + P_{i,j-1}s^{j-1} + \ldots + P_{j,0} \\ &P_{j}(s) = 0 \qquad \text{when } j > 5 \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = s \exp(s/2)[K_{u}^{(j,j)}s^{j} + K_{u}^{(j,j-1)}s^{j-1} + \ldots + K_{u}^{(j,0)}] \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = 0 \qquad \text{when } j > 3 \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = [(n_{s} - 1)^{2} + s^{2}]s \exp(3s/2)[K_{s}^{(1,1)}s + K_{u}^{(1,0)}] \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = 0 \qquad \text{when } j > 1 \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = K_{u}^{(j,j)}s^{j} + K_{u}^{(j,j-1)}s^{j-1} + \ldots + K_{u}^{(j,0)} \\ &K_{u}^{(j)}(s) = 0 \qquad \text{when } j > 4 \\ &P_{5,5} = 1 \qquad P_{5,4} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}M_{ss}^{(3+n)}) \\ &P_{5,3} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}M_{u}^{(2+n)}) - M_{u}^{(2)}M_{u}^{(3)} \\ &P_{5,2} = \sum_{n=0}^{3} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}M_{ss}^{(1-n)}) - \sum_{n=0}^{1} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}M_{u}^{(1+n)}) \\ &P_{5,1} = \sum_{n=0}^{3} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}M_{ss}^{(1-n)}) - \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}M_{u}^{(1+n)}) \\ &P_{5,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}M_{ss}^{(n)}) - M_{u}^{(2)}S_{u}^{(2+n)} M_{u}^{(1+n)}) \\ &P_{4,4} = 1 \qquad P_{4,3} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}S_{ss}^{(2+n)}) \\ &P_{4,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}S_{u}^{(n)} - M_{u}^{(2-n)}S_{u}^{(n)}) \\ &P_{3,1} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}M_{ss}^{(n)} - M_{u}^{(2-n)}S_{u}^{(n)}) \\ &P_{3,1} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{ss}^{(3+n)} + M_{u}^{(3-n)}L_{ss}^{(1+n)} - M_{ss}^{(2-n)}L_{u}^{(1+n)}) + \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}L_{ss}^{(n)}) \\ &P_{3,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{u}^{(2+n)} - M_{ss}^{(2-n)}L_{u}^{(1+n)}) + \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(3-n)}L_{ss}^{(n)}) \\ &P_{3,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{u}^{(1+n)}) + \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}L_{ss}^{(n)}) \\ &P_{3,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} (L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{u}^{(1-n)}M_{u}^{(1+n)}) + \sum_{n=0}^{2} (M_{u}^{(2-n)}L_{u}^{(n)}) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} P_{2,2} &= L_{ii}^{(1)} S_{ii}^{(3)} \qquad P_{2,1} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{ii}^{(1-n)} S_{ii}^{(2+n)} \right) - L_{ii}^{(1)} S_{ii}^{(2)} \\ P_{2,0} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( L_{ii}^{(1-n)} S_{ii}^{(1+n)} - L_{ii}^{(1-n)} S_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ P_{1,1} &= L_{ii}^{(1)} L_{ii}^{(2)} - L_{ii}^{(1)} L_{ii}^{(2)} \qquad P_{1,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{ii}^{(1-n)} L_{ii}^{(1+n)} - L_{ii}^{(1-n)} L_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(3,3)} &= D_{ii}^{(1)} M_{ii}^{(4)} \qquad K_{ii}^{(3,2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(3+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1)} M_{ii}^{(3)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(3,1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(2+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(2+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(3,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(2+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(2,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(1+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1-n)} M_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(2,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} S_{ii}^{(1+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1-n)} S_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(1,1)} &= D_{ii}^{(1)} L_{ii}^{(2)} - D_{ii}^{(1)} L_{ii}^{(2)} \qquad K_{ii}^{(1,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( D_{ii}^{(1-n)} L_{ii}^{(1+n)} - D_{ii}^{(1-n)} L_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(1,1)} &= M_{ii}^{(3)} D_{ii}^{(3)} \qquad K_{ii}^{(1,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(n)} \right) - M_{ii}^{(2)} D_{ii}^{(1)} \\ K_{ii}^{(4,4)} &= M_{ii}^{(3)} D_{ii}^{(3)} \qquad K_{ii}^{(4,3)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(2-n)} \right) - M_{ii}^{(2)} D_{ii}^{(3)} \\ K_{ii}^{(4,2)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(2-n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{3} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(2)} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(3-n)} D_{ii}^{(2)} - M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(2)} - M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(2)} - M_{ii}^{(2-n)} D_{ii}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ K_{ii}^{(4,0)} &= \sum_$$

$$\begin{split} &K_{ss}^{(3,1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{il}^{(2-n)} T_{ss}^{(n)} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( M_{sl}^{(2-n)} T_{ls}^{(1-n)} \right) \\ &K_{ss}^{(3,0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} \left( M_{il}^{(2-n)} T_{ss}^{(n)} - M_{sl}^{(2-n)} T_{ls}^{(n)} \right) \\ &K_{ss}^{(2,2)} = L_{il}^{(1)} D_{ss}^{(3)} - L_{sl}^{(1)} D_{ls}^{(3)} \\ &K_{ss}^{(2,1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{il}^{(1-n)} D_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{sl}^{(1-n)} D_{ls}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ &K_{ss}^{(2,0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{il}^{(1-n)} D_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{sl}^{(1-n)} D_{ls}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ &K_{ss}^{(2,0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{il}^{(1-n)} D_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{sl}^{(1-n)} D_{ls}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ &K_{ss}^{(1,1)} = L_{il}^{(1)} T_{ss}^{(2)} - L_{sl}^{(1)} T_{ls}^{(2)} \\ &K_{ss}^{(1,0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left( L_{ll}^{(1-n)} T_{ss}^{(1+n)} - L_{sl}^{(1-n)} T_{ls}^{(1+n)} \right) \\ &M_{b}^{(4)} = -1 \\ &M_{b}^{(3)} = \left[ (n_{s} - 1)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right] a_{ls} / (n_{s} - 1) + f_{ls} - b_{ls} / (n_{s} - 1) \\ &M_{b}^{(2)} = -\left[ (n_{s} - 1) \right] t_{ls} + a_{ls} / (n_{s} - 1) + \delta_{ls} (n_{s} - 1)^{2} \right] \\ &M_{b}^{(1)} = \left\{ \left[ (n_{s} - 1)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right] a_{ls} - b_{ls} \right] (n_{s} - 1) \\ &L_{b}^{(2)} = (n_{s} - 1) \left\{ t_{ls} \sin\left[ \frac{1}{2} (n_{s} - 1) \right] - f_{ls} \cos\left[ \frac{1}{2} (n_{s} - 1) \right] \right\} - a_{ls} / (n_{s} - 1) \\ &L_{b}^{(1)} = -(n_{s} - 1) \left\{ a_{ls} \left[ (n_{s} - 1)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + b_{ls} \right\} \\ &L_{b}^{(0)} = -(n_{s} - 1) a_{ls} \\ &S_{b}^{(3)} = -\delta_{ls} (n_{s} - 1) \\ &S_{b}^{(3)} = -\delta_{ls} (n_{s} - 1) \\ &S_{b}^{(3)} = -\delta_{ls} (n_{s} - 1) \\ &S_{b}^{(1)} = (n_{s} - 1)^{2} \left\{ f_{ls} \cos\left[ (n_{s} - 1) / 2 \right] + t_{ls} \cos\left[ (n_{s} - 1) / 2 \right] + f_{ls} \right\} \\ &D_{b}^{(2)} = -\left[ 2a_{ls} + (n_{s} - 1)^{2} f_{ls} \right] \\ &D_{b}^{(1)} = -(n_{s} - 1)^{2} \left\{ a_{ls} \left[ 1 + 1 / (n_{s} - 1) \right] + 2b_{ls} + (n_{s} - 1) \right\} \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(0)} = -2a_{ls} (n_{s} - 1)^{2} \\ &M_{b}^{(1)} = -(n_{s} - 1)^{2} \left\{ a_{ls} \left[ 1 + 1 / (n_{s} - 1) \right] + 2b_{ls} + (n_{s} - 1) t_{ls} \right\} \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(0)} = -2a_{ls} (n_{s} - 1)^{2} \\ &M_{b}^{(1)} = -a_{ls} - b_{ls} \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(0)} = -a_{ls} \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(0)} = -a_{ls} \\ \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(1)} = -a_{ls} - b_{ls} \\ \\ \\ &D_{b}^{(0)} = -a_{ls} \\ \\ \\ &D_{b$$

## References

- [1] Gray C and Gubbins K E 1984 Theory of Molecular Fluids vol 1 Fundamentals (Oxford: OUP)
- [2] Yukhnovskij I R and Holovko M F 1980 Statistical Theory of Classical Equilibrium Systems (Kiev: Naukova Dumka)
- [3] Chandler D 1982 The Liquid State of Matter: Fluids, Simple and Complex ed. E W Montroll and J L Lebowitz (Amsterdam: North-Holland) p 275
- [4] Chandler D and Andersen H C 1972 J. Chem. Phys. 57 1930
- [5] Cummings P T and Stell G 1982 Mol. Phys. 46 383

2908

- [6] Morriss G P, Perram J W and Smith E R 1979 Mol. Phys. 38 465
- [7] Morriss G P and Perram J W 1980 Mol. Phys. 41 1463
- [8] Morriss G P and Smith E R 1981 J. Stat. Phys. 24 607
- [9] Cummings P T, Morriss G P and Wright C C 1981 Mol. Phys. 43 1299
- [10] Morriss G P and Cummings P T 1983 Mol. Phys. 49 1103
- [11] Cummings PT, Morriss G P and Stell G 1984 Mol. Phys. 51 289
- [12] Baxter R J 1970 J. Chem. Phys. 52 4559
- [13] Baxter R J 1968 Austr. J. Phys. 21 563
- [14] Kalyuzhnij Yu V, Holovko M F and Nezbeda I 1988 Preprint ITP-88-5P (Kiev)
- [15] Holovko M F and Kalyuzhnij Yu V 1984 Preprint ITP-84-121P (Kiev)
- [16] Holovko M F and Kalyuzhnij Yu V 1985 Preprint ITP-85-128P (Kiev)
- [17] Cummings P T and Stell G 1985 Mol. Phys. 55 33; 1987 Mol. Phys. 60 1315
- [18] Lowden L J and Chandler D J 1974 Chem. Phys. 61 5228
- [19] Lombardero M and Enciso E J 1981 Chem. Phys. 74 1357